

Algorithms in Uncertainty Quantification Kickoff UNMIX project

Mario Teixeira Parente

Department of Mathematics Chair of Numerical Mathematics E-mail: parente@ma.tum.de Web: http://www.mateipa.de

Munich, March 8, 2018



Tur Uhrenturm



Uncertainty Quantification

Physical models are subject to uncertainties of different kinds/sources¹:

- Model error
- Measurement noise
- Discretization error
- Parameter uncertainty
- Uncertainty in the system of reasoning

¹[Oden, 2017] Mario Teixeira Parente (TUM) | Algorithms in Uncertainty Quantification



Parameter inference

Task: Find the "best" model parameters θ that explain measured data d.

ightarrow Inverse problem: Find $heta^{\star}$ such that

$$d = \mathscr{G}(\theta^{\star})$$
 or $\theta^{\star} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \|d - \mathscr{G}(\theta)\|_2.$

- \rightarrow **ill-posed** (and no uncertainties)
- \rightarrow Inference in a probabilistic framework²: Bayesian inversion

²[Tarantola, 2005, Kaipio and Somersalo, 2006] Mario Teixeira Parente (TUM) | Algorithms in Uncertainty Quantification



Bayesian inversion

Idea: Treat data and parameters as random variables.

Assume noise in measurements: $\eta \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\Gamma)$

$$d = \mathscr{G}(heta) + \eta$$
 (1)

Task: Find *posterior distribution* $\rho(\theta|d) \propto \rho(d|\theta)\rho(\theta)$.

Analytical expression for the posterior are prohibitive. \rightarrow Create samples

If one forward solve has high computational cost and number of dimensions is non-trivial, then sampling is very expensive. \rightarrow Surrogate models, dimension reduction



ПП

Dimension reduction with *active subspaces*

Approximate a high-dimensional function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ with a lower-dimensional function $g : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ (k < n) by concentrating on "important directions" in the domain.

Interpretation in Bayesian inversion:

Infer only those parameters (more accurate: directions in the parameter space) that are informed by data.



Fig.: Data misfit of an 8D parameter space plotted on the most important axes [Teixeira Parente et al., 2018].

Mario Teixeira Parente (TUM) | Algorithms in Uncertainty Quantification



Future investigations

- Avoiding MCMC with transport maps
- Sparse grids in the parameter space
- Reduced basis approach for Bayesian inversion



Potential topics for (Master) theses

Reduced basis approach for Bayesian inversion

Shift expensive computations to a offline-phase and use results to accelerate online computations.

• *Consistent* Bayesian formulation of stochastic inverse problems³

Combine a measure-theoretic approach to stochastic inverse problems with the conventional Bayesian formulation. Use new ideas to lower the influence of the prior on the posterior.

³[Butler et al., 2017] Mario Teixeira Parente (TUM) | Algorithms in Uncertainty Quantification



References I

Butler, T., Jakeman, J. D., and Wildey, T. (2017).

A Consistent Bayesian Formulation for Stochastic Inverse Problems Based on Push-forward Measures.

ArXiv e-prints.

- Kaipio, J. and Somersalo, E. (2006). Statistical and computational inverse problems, volume 160. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Oden, J. T. (2017). Foundations of Predictive Computational Science. Technical Report ICES REPORT 17-01, The Institue for Computational Engineering and Sciences, The University of Texas at Austin.
- Tarantola, A. (2005).

Inverse problem theory and methods for model parameter estimation, volume 89. SIAM.

Teixeira Parente, M., Mattis, S., Gupta, S., Deusner, C., and Wohlmuth, B. (2018). Efficient parameter estimation for a methane hydrate model with active subspaces. *ArXiv e-prints*.